| 1  | SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990<br>United States Attorney<br>JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143              |   |  |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2  |  |   |  |
| 3  | Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division   |   |  |
| 4  | ILA C. DEISS, NY SBN 3052909<br>Assistant United States Attorney                                     |   |  |
| 5  | 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  |   |  |
| 6  | San Francisco, California 94102<br>Telephone: (415) 436-7124   |   |  |
| 7  | FAX: (415) 436-7169  |   |  |
| 8  | Attorneys for Defendant  |   |  |
| 9  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |   |  |
| 10 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |   |  |
|    |  |   |  |
| 11 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION   |   |  |
| 12 | JASWINDER SINGH,   | ) No. C 07-3584 SI  |  |
| 13 | Plaintiff,   |   |  |
| 14 | V.   | <ul> <li>PARTIES' JOINT REQUEST TO BE</li> <li>EXEMPT FROM FORMAL ADR</li> <li>PROCESS</li> </ul> |  |
| 15 | EMILIO T. GONZALEZ, Director,<br>United States Citizenship and Immigration                           |   |  |
| 16 | Services,  |   |  |
| 17 | Defendant.   |   |  |
| 18 |  | ,   |  |
| 19 | Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled "Dispute      |   |  |
| 20 | Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR  |   |  |
| 21 | Unit's Internet site < www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov>, discussed the available dispute resolution        |   |  |
| 22 | options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit   |   |  |
| 23 | from any of them. Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will not be          |   |  |
| 24 | beneficial because this mandamus action is limited to plaintiff's request that this Court compel     |   |  |
| 25 | defendants to adjudicate his application for adjustment of status. Given the substance of the action |   |  |
| 26 | and the lack of any potential middle ground, ADR will only serve to multiply the proceedings and     |   |  |
| 27 | unnecessarily tax court resources.   |   |  |
| 28 | Accordingly, pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request the case be removed from the           |   |  |
|    | Parties' Joint Request to be Exempt from ADR Process<br>C07-3584 SI 1                                |   |  |

Document 7

Filed 09/28/2007

Page 2 of 2

Case 3:07-cv-03584-SI